

P.O. Box 233
Oakland, Me. 04963
March 7, 1977

Mr. Harold Weisberg
Route 12
Frederick, Maryland

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

On February 20 of this year, I saw you on the Lou Gordon program. I must say that I was terribly impressed by that interview. Having seen Mr. Gordon in action before is hardly an excuse for his attitude toward you during that interview, but I do feel he is a man of his word.

Theresa Garnett, a friend of mine, said you would like to have the tape I made of the interview. You will find that tape included with this letter. I am far from professional so please excuse my lack of timing in places.

Could you please tell me whether Mr. Gordon has asked you to come back to discuss the assassination of JFK. While I believe there was indeed a conspiracy to kill Martin Luther King, I feel it more important, at this time, to get at the bottom of the killing of JFK.

In my mind, there is no question that Oswald was innocent of killing Kennedy and that he was a victim of a conspiracy, a very bad conspiracy except that it is the official version and one we must contend with until the real evidence is presented to show one assassin couldn't have done it.

Could you please send me a list of your books and prices? I am particularly interested in Post Mortem.

Mr. Gordon referred to Mark Lane as "making a living" off his theories regarding the assassination. Have you any idea what he meant by that remark? I attended a lecture given by Mark Lane and was impressed by it. It seems that the worst thing that could happen would be for the critics to start at one another's throats. So, I'm hoping that your thoughts regarding Mark Lane isn't as negative as Mr. Gordon's is.

Thank you for your time. I hope you can use the tape.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Brenda G. Bouchard

Mrs. Brenda G. Bouchard

*I have also enclosed a self-addressed envelope for
your reply.*

3/18/77

Dear Mrs. Vroussard,

Thanks very much for your letter of the 7th and the enclosed tape. And the thoughtfulness of the stamped envelope.

Gordon did not invite me back on JFK. I was written after the show that I would always be welcome. I have also had a phone call from them on another matter.

I have no reason to believe his JFK views have changed and no immediate purpose to serve by doing a show on it.

I must be forthright in responding to you. I hope you will realize that it is fact and not the reflection of personal opinion.

Gordon was understating in saying that Lane has made a living off JFK. He has now commercialized the ^{ing} assassination the same way, really despicably. He has grown wealthy from JFK and from formulae in dealing with it. They change with chaging situations.

He never does any real work. He milks the lecture circuit instead and then steals or improvises or both. With ^{ing} now both.

He says anything, caring naught except for acceptance and the fees, which are fat.

Trying to cohabituate with him is like coexistence at 40 fathoms with an ~~xxx~~ octopus. He has an insatiable ego and is driven by sick compulaions ^{ing} have to do with his failure to do any meaningful.

Instead he goes around and says anything at all, exciting at least as many as he misinforms. The audience generally accepts him as an authentic expert and is impressed. Who would dream that he makes most up as he goes and the rest is not his?

Howard Roffman calls him a walking and talking encyclopaedia of misinformation.

Gordon was not in error in referring to Lane as a theorizer. People do not check back. Most people can't. Lane has a theory for every season, even change in the weather relating to theories and conspiracies.

I'm sorry it is this way, particularly because he is able and is an effective speaker. He would be if he spoke truth all the time.

It really is not that all the critics are at each others throats, as it may seem. It is that he and a wide assortment of nuts and ripoff artists are the real vampires who stop sucking blood only long enough to denounce others as the real vampires.

Lane more than anyone else is responsible for the misdirection of the House committee. If you see anything relating to this or on his book on King I'd appreciate it because all those fabrications will have to be dealt with.

Again thanks and best wishes,